

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Glesecke, Albert A. American Commercial Legislation Before 1789. Pp. 167.
Price. \$1.50. New York: D. Appleton & Co., 1910.

This is a highly convenient and well-nigh exhaustive summary of the laws enacted (1) by the British Parliament for the regulation of American trade and manufactures, (2) by the several colonial legislatures for the raising of revenues and the discouragement of certain imports, (3) by the Continental and Confederate congresses in the endeavor to bring Great Britain to terms by systematic boycott and, when separation had become inevitable, to provide new markets for American produce. The discussion of the economic effects of this restrictive legislation could hardly be undertaken in so brief a monograph, yet one cannot but regret that the author fails to present the raison d'etre of the imperial policy and the actual operation of the measures approved by a parliament that considered only English interests. Latterday historians are making quite evident the fact that the British colonial system was by no means so oppressive as it appeared to the resourceful and ambitious colonials, but a just estimate of its comparative liberality can only be reached by a study of the Spanish colonial policy as exemplified in New The English colonists knew no such handicap as the "closed port," and the mother country that monopolized their trade was their most convenient market, whereas the exclusive privileges granted to Cadiz by the Council of the Indies accomplished the ruin of industry and commerce both in Spain and in her luckless colonies. Even the "free trade edict" promulgated by Charles III merely enlarged the number of open ports and lowered some duties, while the admission of foreign vessels to trade with Mexico and California was not contemplated. The results in the way of stifling economic initiative were such as no British dependency was made to suffer.

KATHARINE COMAN.

Wellesley College.

Hazen, C. D. Europe Since 1815. Pp. xxv, 830. Price, \$3.00. New York: Henry Holt & Co., 1910.

A book should be judged by the purpose of the author. Professor Hazen has set himself to the task of writing a general history of Europe since 1815, and the canons by which his achievements are to be measured are simple. Is the volume well balanced in the proportions assigned to the several countries and historical problems? Is the method of treatment in keeping with the pretensions of the title? Are the statements accurate? Is the style, if not distinctly engaging, at least clear and direct? Is the arrangement of materials such as to attract and fix the mind of the reader?

In the matter of the distribution of emphasis, Professor Hazen has done fair justice to the stress of interest in the average American mind. If any objection could be urged against his balance or proportions, it is that he has given too much space to France and England, for out of 736 pages of text about three hundred are devoted to the internal developments of the two countries. The present reviewer is in no mood to quarrel, but he has a faint

suspicion that as the Near East moves nearer we shall want to readjust our perspective. There are now signs of an impending change.

In the method of treatment, Professor Hazen interprets his title, "Europe Since 1815," in that free manner now in vogue among the historians, as meaning principally the political record of the period. The thread that runs through this volume is a chronicle of the deeds of politicians and warriors. Other factors, economic and social, are noted by the wayside when they are subjects of the political game. Their weight, however, as conditioning forces in the general movement of the century, our author does not attempt to gauge. For the wayward course of the historians in making politics their theme, Professor Hazen is not responsible; but it would have been refreshing if he had struck a fell blow against tradition. His title should read: "The Political Events of Europe Since 1815."

On the score of accuracy, our author seems to have taken special pains. No doubt a reviewer who holds proof reading to be a part of his task might find a few errors to catalogue, but the big bold facts are presented with precision and fairness. This is what counts.

As to style, Professor Hazen seems to have sacrificed the graces for definiteness and clarity. One is tempted to weary occasionally at the unadorned tale told with so many short sentences and so little swing; but let the one who has not sinned in this respect cast the first stone. It is better to be understood always than to charm occasionally while creating much misunderstanding.

Lastly (after the fashion of an old New England sermon) there is the problem of arrangement. Professor Hazen has made a reasonably successful combination of the chronological and the topical methods, taking each country up separately and then giving us cross sections where the political situation is distinctly international in character. Anyone who has ever put his hand to this tangled skein will be slow to criticise this plan of procedure; and it seems that our author has told his story in as orderly a manner as the theme would permit. The historian may say with the preacher of old: "Consider the work of God: for who can make straight that which He hath made crooked?"

CHARLES A. BEARD.

Columbia University.

Kelynack, T. N. (Ed.). Medical Examination of Schools and Scholars. Pp. xvi, 434. Price, 10/6. London: P. S. King & Son, 1910.

At a recent meeting of the American School Hygiene Association in New York City, the statement was made that the physician of the future would serve less and less in the capacity of family doctor and more and more as community doctor; that he would spend a decreasing amount of time in studying and curing individual cases of disease, and a proportionately increasing amount of time in teaching the laws of health and preventive medicine.